

REMARKS/ARGUMENTS

Claims 44-100 remain in the case.

Claim 44 has been allowed by the Examiner.

This Supplement Amendment adds claims 91-100 to the recently filed Amendment.

Claim 45 is similar to claim 1 that was amended by Examiner's amendment and allowed. Claim 45 differs from claim 1 in form in that each of the three electric field regions is defined with its corresponding electrode.

Claims 46-66 which depend from claim 45 are identical to allowed original claims 2-22, respectively.

Claim 67 is similar to claim 23 as amended by Examiner's amendment and allowed. Claim 67 differs from claim 23 in form and includes the three electric field regions that enabled claim 23 to be allowed by the Examiner.

Claims 68-87 which depend from claim 67 are identical to allowed original claims 24-43, respectively.

Previously submitted method claims 88-90 include the steps of providing first, second and third electric field regions in the ionization chamber. Claims 88-90 are believed to be patentable for the same reasons stated by the Examiner with respect to the patentability of claim 23.

Newly submitted claims 91-100 seek to clearly distinguish the present invention from the prior art and appear to be allowable.

William D. Frazer et al.
10992233-1

In view of the previously and newly submitted claims and the above remarks, this application is believed to be in condition for a Notice of Allowance.

Respectfully submitted,
AGILENT TECHNOLOGIES, INC.



Gerry A. Blodgett
Attorney for Applicant
Registration No. 26,090

Date: _____

7/23/04

Timothy H. Joyce
AGILENT TECHNOLOGIES, INC.
Intellectual Property Practice Group
3500 Deer Creek Road, Mailstop 26U-25
Palo Alto, CA 94304-1317